Have you read the initial guidance?

- Yes: 82%
- No: 18%
How well (1 least, 5 most) do you understand your company’s impacts and dependencies on nature?
Do you agree with the initial ideas of the technical team just presented?

- 6% Strongly agree
- 71% Agree
- 22% Agree with some parts but disagree with others
- 0% Disagree
- 0% Strongly disagree
Are there any further key considerations/suggestions that you would add to the SBTs toolkit design brief?

- No idea
- Guidance about using the outputs to influence business stakeholders and making it relevant to their business challenges.
- How do people engage/fit in/role with the environmental impacts?
- where to find publicly available LCAs and datasets
- Uncertainty should maybe be driven a bit more toward the essential side
- Adding a map in the tool that can be adapted by the company based on their needs / the variables they are interested in (if it was not the case)
- rather than invasive species, explore an indicator more broadly related to the health of biodiversity overall
- Guidance in what level of accuracy in step 1 and monitoring that is sufficient
- It would be helpful to know what tool "groups" need to be used. Eg, my company uses 3-4 of these listed existing tools: is this enough or is there a spot we need to cover with a specific tool type?
Are there any further key considerations/suggestions that you would add to the SBTs toolkit design brief?

- A visualization tool could be a very nice addition
- A "one stop shop" for companies would be great! to be able to understand all potential impacts/dependencies and how to measure
- Not essential but desirable: translated in other languages?
- HOW COLLECT PRIMARY DATA
  - provide more guidance on "disturbances"
  - Download data - Auditable assessment - possible link to models to analyse next steps
  - ways to enable an exchange between different companies
  - Show examples of synergism companies can use
- ensure training/two way communication opportunities are broadly available
Are there any further key considerations/suggestions that you would add to the SBTs toolkit design brief?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common visualisation tool</th>
<th>list of data needed</th>
<th>Clear guidance on the best tools to avoid too much uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>best practices for types of primary data to collect</td>
<td>benchmarking across sectors</td>
<td>Recommendations for follow-on services and actions from the data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative indicators to assess biodiversity impacts and be Foundation for target setting</td>
<td>Training and appropriation of the tools to be sure it's done right</td>
<td>Ensurance of data accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inform/link to which steps does it feed to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to simplify evaluations for complex geographies, many sites etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID cards per tool to make sure companies can select the right ones for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>th righ purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which level of impact measurement will the tool provide eg evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs just quantification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which of the following phases of Step 1 Assess in the SBTs Initial Guidance for Business has your company carried out so far?

- **Step 1A - Sector-level Materiality Assessment**: 14
- **Step 1B - Value Chain Hotspot Assessment**: 8
- **Step 1C - Company-level refinement**: 5
- **We haven't started yet**: 20
Did you use any tools to support you in this? What were they and what did you use them for?

- **Global Biodiversity Score**
- **WRI Ecosystem Services Review**
- **datamaran - materiality assessment**
- **Guidelines "Entreprises engagées pour la nature - act4nature France"**
- **Materiality assessment methodology led by a 3rd party.**
- **Multicriteria corporate footprint**
- **Product Biodiversity Footprint (PBF)**
- **ENCORE database**
- **Yes - a lot of tools: Aqueduct, others in the guidance plus several specific data sets, literature, etc.**
Did you use any tools to support you in this? What were they and what did you use them for?

- IUCN Redlists
- WRI aqueduct tool for spatial water risk assessment
- The sustainability consortium
- IBAT
- IBAT
- Water Risk Filter
- LCA / Ecol. Footprints
- GBS - Global biodiversity scored
- WWF Water Risk Filter
Did you use any tools to support you in this? What were they and what did you use them for?

- IBAT
- GFW pro
- Next tool to be used: UEBT risk tool for sourcing materials (i.e. tier 3-4) of natural ingredient, EcoVadis, Sedex for tier 1.
- Brain
- Next tool on impact on the ground UEBT Biodiversity Action Plan
- Aqueduct, IBAT

Materiality assessment:
- Environmental Impact Assessment
- SimaPro
- ExioBase
- GHG protocol
- IBAT
- LCA

Own Hotspot analysis based on studies from the sustainability consortium for main raw material used in our products.
Did you use any tools to support you in this? What were they and what did you use them for?

| Water tools both from WRI and WWF | Used to explore existing information and try and determining impacts and dependencies | CDP |